



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,486	02/28/2002	Jan Gerard Snip	PTT-136/CIP	5726

7265 7590 07/13/2004

MICHAELSON AND WALLACE
PARKWAY 109 OFFICE CENTER
328 NEWMAN SPRINGS RD
P O BOX 8489
RED BANK, NJ 07701

EXAMINER

HASHEM, LISA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2645

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/085,486

Applicant(s)

SNIP ET AL.

Examiner

Lisa Hashem

Art Unit

2645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2002 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/4-30-2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

FINAL DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. An initialed and dated copy of Applicant's IDS form 1449, Paper No. 8, is attached to the instant office action.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "12" and "13" on page 7, lines 20-21 have both been used to designate e-mail server. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,243,739 by Schwartz et al, hereinafter Schwartz in further view of U.S. Patent No. 6,385,451 by Kalliokulju et al, hereinafter Kalliokulju.

Regarding claim 1, Schwartz discloses a method of transferring a message stored in a computer arrangement or server (Figure 1, 51) to a mobile device (Figure 1, 11), comprising: transmitting an alert message from said computer arrangement to said mobile device via a first mobile network (Figure 1, 1; column 3, lines 42-51; column 10, lines 22-34; column 10, line 56

Art Unit: 2645

– column 11, line 7; column 11, lines 15-23); transmitting said message stored in said computer arrangement (Figure 1, 51) to said mobile device (Figure 1, 11) upon request from said mobile device (see Abstract) via a second mobile network (Figure 1, 40); wherein both said first and second networks belong in part to the same physical network.

Schwartz does not disclose said first and second networks being parallel mobile networks.

Kalliokulju discloses a method of transferring or downloading an email message inherently from a computer arrangement to a mobile device (see Abstract; Table 1, Fourth class), wherein there is a handover of a connection between a first and second mobile communication terminal comprising: a first and a second wireless network; wherein the first wireless network is GSM and the first wireless network comprises means for establishing a connection that is either connection-oriented and/or connectionless (column 1, lines 28-37; column 1, lines 49-51; column 2, lines 1-7). In the second mobile communication network, at least two traffic classes with different transfer properties are defined, and one of them is selected for the data transmission connection of the second mobile communication network (column 9, lines 14-22).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Schwartz to include first and second networks, that are parallel mobile networks as taught by Kalliokulju for establishing a data transmission connection. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been lead to make such a modification to utilize one network for notification and the other network for messages.

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 2, the method according to claim 1 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses establishing an on-line connection between said computer arrangement and said mobile device (Figure 1; column 3, lines 42-59).

Regarding claim 3, the method according to claim 1 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses said first network (Figure 1, 1) inherently is arranged to utilize a first protocol and wherein said second network (Figure 1, 40) is inherently arranged to utilize a second protocol (column 3, lines 42-51).

Regarding claim 4, the method according to claim 3 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses sending said message from said computer arrangement to a protocol translator (inherently in computer, Figure 1, 31) using a third protocol (UDP/IP), translating said message in said third protocol to a message in said second protocol before transmission to said mobile device (column 5, lines 10-21; column 6, lines 54-64).

Regarding claim 5, the method according to claim 1 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses said computer arrangement is inherently an e-mail server (Figure 1, 51; column 3, lines 47-51; column 7, lines 58-59).

Regarding claim 6, the method according to claim 5 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses said message is inherently an e-mail message (see Abstract; column 7, lines 58-59).

Regarding claim 7, the method according to claim 1 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses said second protocol is inherently HDTP which resembles HTTP but is optimized for use with remote devices like wireless telephones (column 5, lines 10-12).

Regarding claim 8, the method according to claim 1 mentioned above, wherein Kalliokulju further discloses the second wireless network is either GPRS or UMTS and the second wireless network defines a traffic class for the data transmission connection (column 1, lines 28-37; column 2, lines 46-49; column 3, lines 35-38).

Regarding claim 9, a method according to claim 1 mentioned above, wherein Kalliokulju further discloses said first wireless network is GSM and the first wireless network comprises means for establishing a connection that is either connection-oriented and/or connectionless (column 1, lines 28-37; column 1, lines 49-51; column 2, lines 1-7).

Regarding claim 10, a method according to claim 1 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses establishing an on-line connection between said computer arrangement and said mobile device either automatically by said mobile device or by said mobile device after being instructed to do so by a user of the mobile device (column 3, lines 42-59; column 7, lines 24-38).

Regarding claim 11, a please see the rejection to the method in claim 1 mentioned above, to reject the communication system in claim 11.

Regarding claim 12, a communication system according to claim 11 mentioned above, wherein please see the rejection to the method in claim 2 above, to reject the system in claim 12.

Regarding claim 13, a communication system according to claim 11 mentioned above, wherein please see the rejection to the method in claim 3 above, to reject the system in claim 13.

Regarding claim 14, a communication system according to claim 13 mentioned above, wherein please see the rejection to the method in claim 4 above, to reject the system in claim 14.

Regarding claim 15, a communication system according to claim 14 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses said protocol translator is included in the computer (Figure 1,

Art Unit: 2645

31); wherein computer and computer arrangement (Figure 1, 51) may be located on same hardware (column 4, lines 27-31; column 5, lines 10-21; column 6, lines 54-64).

Regarding claim 16, a communication system according to claim 12 mentioned above, wherein please see the rejection to the method in claim 5 above, to reject the system in claim 16.

Regarding claim 17, a communication system according to claim 16 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses said message is inherently an e-mail stored at the e-mail server (see Abstract; column 7, 58-59).

Regarding claim 18, a communication system according to claim 12 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses the system comprises a gateway or computer (Figure 1, 31) between the computer arrangement (Figure 1, 51) and the first (Figure 1, 1) and second mobile networks (Figure 1, 40).

Regarding claim 19, a communication system according to claim 18 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses, in operation, the computer arrangement (Figure 1, 51), upon inherently receiving said message, inherently establishes a PAP (standard authentication) message and transmits this PAP message via a PAP protocol to said gateway (Figure 1, 31; column 7, lines 58-59; column 8, lines 25-46), and the gateway (Figure 1, 31), upon receiving said PAP message, generates a message for said mobile device (Figure 1, 11) including said alert message (column 10, lines 22-34).

Schwartz fails to disclose generating a SMS message for said mobile device including said alert message.

Kalliokulju discloses a method of transferring or downloading an email message inherently from a computer arrangement to a mobile device (see Abstract; Table 1, Fourth class),

Art Unit: 2645

wherein there is a handover of a connection between a first and second mobile communication terminal comprising: a first and a second wireless network; wherein the first wireless network is GSM and the first wireless network comprises means for establishing a connection that is either connection-oriented and/or connectionless (column 1, lines 28-37; column 1, lines 49-51; column 2, lines 1-7). Wherein, a SMS message is generated for said mobile device (column 1, line 49 – column 2, line 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Schwartz to include a first wireless network that is GSM and generating a SMS for said mobile device as taught by Kalliokulju for establishing a data transmission connection. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been lead to make such a modification since a digital mobile phone system, such as the GSM system, would allow the transmission or download of data, e.g. e-mail, to a mobile device and the GSM system provides a short message service that resembles a paging system, wherein a SMS is generated for said mobile device.

Regarding claim 20, a communication system according to claim 12 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses the system comprises at least one mobile device (Figure 1, 11; column 4, lines 32-42).

Regarding claim 21, a communication system according to claim 20 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses said mobile device (Figure 1, 11) is arranged to generate an HTTP get message or HDTP "Service Request" upon receiving said alert message, via computer (Figure 1, 31), either automatically or after having received an instruction to that effect from a user of the mobile device (column 7, lines 24-57).

Regarding claim 22, a communication system according to claim 21 mentioned above, wherein Schwartz further discloses said protocol translator is arranged to translate said message to a HTTP or HDTP reply message (column 7, lines 58-66).

Regarding claim 23, Schwartz discloses a mobile device (Figure 1, 11) arranged to receive an alert message through a first mobile network (Figure 1, 1; column 3, lines 42-51; column 10, lines 22-34; column 10, line 56 – column 11, line 7; column 11, lines 15-23), to automatically generate a HTTP get message or HDTP “Service Request”, via computer (Figure 1, 31; column 7, lines 24-57), to transmit the HTTP get message to a computer arrangement or server (Figure 1, 51) storing a message for the mobile device (Figure 1, 11) and to receive the message from said computer arrangement or server (Figure 1, 51) as a HTTP reply message, via computer (Figure 1, 31; column 7, lines 58-66) through a second mobile network, wherein both said first and second networks belong in part to the same physical network.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2645

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or call:

(703) 306-0377 (for customer service assistance)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Hashem whose telephone number is (703) 305-4302. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,486
Art Unit: 2645

Page 10

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (703) 305-4895. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

LH

lh

July 6, 2004

FAN TSANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Fan Tsang', written over the printed name and title.